

Minutes

of the meeting of the Student Feed-back Subcommittee held on November, 13. 2024 from 1pm in Kenézy Villa

<u>Attendees:</u> Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp, Vice-Dean of Scientific Affairs, chairman of the Feed-back Subcommittee, Dr. Róza Zákány associate professor, Dr. Attila Sipos assistant professor Dr. Pál Pap, Head of Registrar's Office, UD Faculty of Medicine, Dávid Adorján, Fanni Csajbók, Viktória Csuka, Zsófi Balogh students of the Faculty of Medicine.

<u>*Dr. Zoltán Papp*</u>, Vice-Dean of the Faculty welcomed the participants, announced that the meeting would proceed according to the published agenda.

Agenda I: Presentation of the feed-back results for the 2nd semester of the academic year 2022-23.

<u>Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp</u>, Vice-Dean of the Faculty, presented that firstly they will start with the summary of the student feed-back results for the 1st semester of the academic year 2022/2023.

Dr. Pál Pap, Head of Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results for the 2^{nd} semester of the academic year 2022/23 (see Annex I). He said that he would start with the results for the Medicine Program and then move on to the divided programs. He explained that the basic rule is that 30% completion must be achieved for all subjects in order for the results to be taken into consideration. In this system, students must evaluate the subject on a scale of 1 to 5.

The previous years, the completion rate was very low in all years, and an attempt was made to improve this by providing students who complete the minimum of 5 questionnaires during the semester, the Neptun system will open exam registration one day earlier. This has also improved the completion rates. Even for the block practice, the completion rates are quite high.

Feedback from Hungarian students on medical program shows that all subjects achieve a level 4 or so. Medical Genetics got a grade of 3.96, which is almost a 4. Neurobiology is being phased out of the curriculum, and only a few repeater students have this subject. He considered it important to note that in the evaluation of the previous feed-back results, there were several instances where the Preventive Medicine subject had received lower marks, the students being dissatisfied with the curriculum of the subject. This was 3.8 in the second semester of the



academic year 2022/2023. The Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, which coordinates the subject, has developed a new teaching note taking into account student feedback and in consultation with students, which is already being used in the teaching of the subject. This should help to improve the understanding of the subject by students.

Ophthalmology also received an average of 3.8, for which the students were asked the reason.

<u>Dávid Adorján</u>, president of the Student Council in response to this question, said that he had just had an Ophthalmology subject and had not experienced any negative effects. He did not know why the subject had received a lower mark this semester.

Dr Pál Pap, Head of the Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results of the English program students of the Medicine Program. The scores show that the students in the English program gave lower scores in the subjects, but still mostly scored above 4. For Anatomy, Histology and Embryology I, the score is 3.48, which is quite low. Molecular Biology scored 3.74, while Clinical Genetics scored 3.76. The Anatomy, Histology and Embriology subject is in the process of modification, hopefully the curriculum change will improve the perception of the teaching of the subject by the students.

The Head of Registrar's Office presented the feedback results for the block practices. The Pediatrics and Obstetrics block practice received lower scores.

The Head of the Registrar's Office presented the feedback results of the dvided programs (see Annex I.) He first presented the results of the BSc in Medical Diagnostic Analysis. He explained that there are 4 specialisations within the program. In the first semester all students study the same subjects, and from the second semester onwards they have specialisation specific subjects. The results obtained are good, but many of the subjects have scores around 3, which is much lower than the average of 4. Unfortunately, in quite a number of subjects the completion rate was below 30%, so that no data could be evaluated.

He explained that the Faculty has three MSc programs, the MSc in Health Psychology, the MSc in Molecular Biology and the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences.

He explained that for the Health Psychology MSc, the subjects received quite high scores from students except for the Health Management subject (3.92).

For the Hungarian students of Molecular Biology, the subject Human Physiology II scored much better than before (3.74). In the previous semester, the subject scored below 3. The results of the English program students of this program are good. Human Physiology II had a lower score of 3.79 and Cell Biology had a lower score of 3.69.

For the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences all subjects scored above 4.



Agenda II: Presentation of the feed-back results for the 1st semester of the academic year 2023-24.

Dr. Pál Pap, Head of the Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results for the first semester of the academic year 2023/2024 (see Annex I.) He said that he would start with the results for the Medicine Program and then move on to the divided programs. The feedback from the Hungarian students of the Medicine Program shows that all subjects reached about level 4. The subjects Bioethics (3.59) and Clinical Genetics (3.80) scored only below 4. In case of the English program, the scores given by foreign students are lower. However, Anatomy, Histology and Embryology I-II showed an improvement compared to the previous semester (3.72, 3.91), while Biophysics (3.71), Biostatistics (3.90), Biochemistry I (3.92) and Medical Physiology I (3.93) also scored below 4. As in previous semesters, Stomatology also scored below 4. The Head of the Registrar's Office presented the feedback results for the block practices.

<u>*Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp*</u>, Vice Dean of the Faculty, noted that the average value of the Bioethics and Stomatology courses remains low.

Among the block practices, the Obstetrics and Gynecology block practice also received lower scores this semester.

The students noted that they would like to have an advanced exam in Bioethics.

<u>Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp</u>, Vice Dean of the Faculty, said that the advanced examination goes against the rules and regulations, so it is not advisable to allow such examinations. However, the offered grade could be a solution in such cases. It is up to the Department to decide whether or not to introduce it.

<u>Dávid Adorján</u>, President of the Student Council, suggested that the qualification of the diploma should not include the Medical Genetics subject, but the Clinical Genetics subject.

In response <u>*Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp*</u>, Vice Dean of the Faculty, said that the Educational Committee could act on such issues requiring amendments to the University regulations. Accordingly, he will forward the proposal to the Committee and a decision will be taken there.

Students suggested that it would be more convenient if they could also choose from Obstetrics and Gynecology which Campus they would like to spend their practices. At the Kenezy Campus usually has more time for students.



<u>**Dr.** Attila Sipos</u>, Assistant Professor, said that the teachers may have more time at the Kenézy Campus for the students, but they cannot see everything of the professional work there. On the Nagyerdei Campus, they can see and learn about a much wider range of clinical work. The 6^{th} year practices are split between the two campuses, so that students in their final year of study can do their practice at both.

Dr. Pál Pap, Head of the Registrar's Office, explained that for the 6^{th} year unfortunately only the English program students' filling rate reached the 30%, so only those were assessable. In case of the students in the English program, the Psychiatry practice received lower scores. In case of the Hungarian program not enough students in 6^{th} year completed the questionnaires.

Dr. Pál Pap, Head of the Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results of the divided programs (see Annex I.) He first presented the results of the BSc in Medical Diagnostic Analysis. In this semester, several subjects scored around 3, well below the average of 4. The Mathematics and Statistics (3.12), the Microscopy Techniques (2.65!) and the Cell Biology (2.82!) subjects' scores were exceptionally low.

He explained that the Faculty has three MSc programs, the MSc in Health Psychology, the MSc in Molecular Biology and the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences. He explained that for the Health Psychology MSc, the subjects received quite high marks from the students except for the Fundamentals of Genetics (3.88) and Public Health (2.77!) subjects.

The Molecular Biology subjects scored around 4. The Human Physiology II (3.66) and Biophysics (2.45!) subjects had lower scores.

In the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences, students did not complete the questionnaires in sufficient numbers, so there was no feedback to evaluate.

The Head of Registrar's Office added that this semester, due to an administrative error, the student questionnaires were not sent out to the English program students of the MSc in Molecular Biology.

<u>*Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp*</u>, Vice Dean of the Faculty, explained that all of the Heads of Department would receive the feedback results, the detailed evaluation will also be sent to the Heads.

<u>Dávid Adorján</u>, President of the Student Council, suggested that in order to encourage students in the 6^{th} year, students who fill in the questionnaires sent out in the 6^{th} year should be able to register earlier for the oral state exam. Perhaps this could be used as an incentive to encourage students in their final year to complete the questionnaires.



<u>Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp</u>, Vice Dean of the Faculty, supported the proposal and asked the Head of the Registrar's Office to elaborate the technical aspects of the proposal.

There being no further comments, the Vice-Dean thanked all present for their work and closed the meeting.

P-

Dr. Zoltán Papp university professor Chairman of the Student Feed-Back Subcommittee

Ms Judit Domján Sarkadiné keeper of minutes

Debrecen, September, 07. 2023.